

NOT the father...

Heinrich Schliemann should not be considered the father of archaeology. (include three reasons why --- these are your SUPPORTING DETAILS) *write five to seven total sentences for introduction*

"An examination of many of Schliemann's claims will show that he was a pathological liar" ("Archaeological Con Artist"). Two professors investigated Schliemann's work and found that many of his early works and findings were full of falsified information. As more scholars looked into Schliemann's work, he was found to be less credible as he used this information to try to gain United States' citizenship. While some may say that Schliemann had positive intentions while completing his studies, he very selfishly used his findings to make himself look good. His information has been proven wrong many times and cannot be trusted.

The father...

Heinrich Schliemann should be considered the father of archaeology. (include three reasons why --- these are your SUPPORTING DETAILS) *write five to seven total sentences for introduction*

Heinrich Schliemann "devoted years to discovering and writing about ancient civilizations" ("Father of Archaeology"). Schliemann focused on studying the city of Troy after his father allowed him to read stories by Homer as a child. He spent much of his life trying to prove the existence of this city in order to truly find answers to unanswered questions. While some believe that Schliemann sought to find Troy out of greed, Schliemann had already gathered a great deal of money from his previous career. Therefore, Schliemann did not try to find Troy out of selfishness, but out of a desire to help others learn about the city.